Minutes: Scheduled Business

**Item 1:** Welcome and Announcements

Description: General welcome and opportunity for announcements & items of interest relevant to the IRPSC.

Karen welcomed the group to the meeting and followed with quick round table introductions.

**Item 2:** Potential FY18 Objectives

Description: Review the list of potential objectives, the documented potential objectives from other ITG committees to this point, and analyze the objectives using the rubric provided by the ITG program or alternate method.

We began by going over each item listed and discussing each when needed.

A. Conduct a survey to identify technical and compliance issues present across the University. Utilize peer benchmarking among top research universities as part of the data analysis.
B. Analyze existing processes and approaches to risk regulatory management for the purpose of increasing proactivity in risk/regulatory response.

C. Develop a University-wide security plan with strategic initiatives directed toward raising the overall security posture of the university.
   - Substitute the word “program” in place of the word “plan”.

D. Identify strategies for addressing the impact of Health Science Center integration with respect to HIPAA, PHI, and PH data.
   - Revised wording: Identify strategies for addressing the expansion of HIPAA, including the protections of PHI, and PTT data across the University. This stands as revised objective and strike old wording.

E. Identify strategies for addressing the long-term impact of Controlled Unclassified Information (CUI) and DFARS data/data protections.
   - Remove wording “DFARS data/data protections”

F. Develop a university-wide plan to standardize policy and regulatory compliance activities, Assess existing and potential security controls for the purpose of identifying new, or adopting existing, security tools that will be standardized across the University with the appropriate funding mechanism.
   - New wording as follows: Assess existing and potential security risks for the purpose of information and identifying a need for security tools that will be standardized across the University with the appropriate funding mechanism.

G. Review existing hiring practices and resources to determine opportunities for enhancing competitive hiring of risk policy, and security personnel.

H. Foster campus-wide collaboration and communication activities by creating an environment and opportunity to focus on risk, security, and policy needs. (regarding both regulatory requirements and adoption).

I. Establish and/or standardize security metrics and best practices for use by the university community.

**Item 3: Selection of FY18 Objectives**

**Description:** Select 3 objectives following the discussion, leaving availability to have additional objectives moved to the IRPSC based on the activity of the other committees.

The objectives were ranked and voted on as follows:

- A- 15 (5)
- B- 10 (4)
- C- 34 (10)
- D- 42 (12)
- E- 26 (12)
- F- 4 (2)
- G- 48 (14)
- H- 2 (1)
Frequency of reference shown in parenthetical marks.

There was discussion to decide objectives:

1\textsuperscript{st} priority: G
2\textsuperscript{nd} priority: D
3\textsuperscript{rd} priority: C
4\textsuperscript{th} priority: E

A motion was made by Dale Cook to change the ranking to G, D, E, then C. The motion was seconded by Ed Pierson, Aaron Brender opposed, the quorum for the vote was met, so motion is approved and passed.

Meeting adjourned at 2:55pm

**Action Items**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action/Description</th>
<th>Assigned To</th>
<th>Action taken by Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Doodle Poll for time to get together for discussion to move forward.</td>
<td>Missy/Josh</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>